2. The several proposals of the Committee are dealt with in the manner indicated below:—

As recommended by the Committee, the grant for the Public Works Department for the current year has been fixed at Rs. 30 lakhs.

(2) Endeavours will be made to keep the establishment charges as low as possible.

(4) and (5) Orders have already been issued to abolish the Special Division at Mysore from 1st January 1932, and to the reduction of one Sub-Division, *vide* Government Order No. P. W. 2697-8—17673-4, dated 8th June 1931 and Government Order No. P. W. 2705-06—17700-1, dated 8th June 1931.

(7) It has been considered necessary to keep on the Consulting Engineer for another year in the interest of the project.

(3), (6) and (8) These proposals are under examination and separate orders will be issued regarding them.

3. In consultation with the Chief Engineer, Government are pleased to direct the further curtailment of the Public Works Budget of the current year by Rs. 3,75,000 by resuming the grants for works as noted below:—

	Rs.
23 Irrigation	1,95,200
24 Civil Works	1,27,500
24 A Communications ..	9,500
24 *Establishment*—	
Temporary Establishment ..	2,000
Travelling Allowance ..	26,500
Fixed Travelling Allowance..	15,000
Total ..	3,75,700

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Retrenchment in the Judicial Department.

READ—

Recommendation of the Mysore Retrenchment Committee 1931, in regard to economies in the Judicial Department.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 12, dated 22nd September 1931, from the High Court.

ORDER No. FL. 7-16—G. F. 85-31-1, CAMP MYSORE, DATED 22ND—23RD OCTOBER 1931.

The Retrenchment Committee state in their report that there is not in the Judicial Department much scope in the nature of things, for effecting drastic economies of a kind which will not re-act prejudicially on the working of the Courts and on the interests of parties and the public. They recommend that in places where the work—Criminal or Civil—is sufficiently light, both the functions may be combined under a Munsiff-Magistrate. Such combination has been made in some cases and the High Court will be requested to suggest other cases in which the arrangement can be adopted and economies effected.

The holding in abeyance of the orders in Government Order No. P. 5002-11—Cts. 95-28-6, dated 17th March 1930, in regard to the payment of fees to Assessors and Jurors is suggested and the High Court have agreed to the proposal. Government accept the suggestion.

The other reduction suggested by the Retrenchment Committee which amount to a total of Rs. 26,385 are based on the Budget of 1930-31 and the sum actually retrenched in framing the Budget for 1931-32 is as under:—

Budget Heads.	Amount of reduction.
	Rs.
18 Courts of Law.—	
1. High Court Establishment.	
Civil Court Auditor	2,772
Travelling Allowance of Officers	300
Travelling Allowance of Establishment	500
Purchase of books	1,000
2. Law Officers' Contingencies	389
3. District and Civil Courts—Lump sum for allowance to temporary hands appointed in place of recruits.	1,500
For increase to Process Establishment of First Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.	2,000
Contingencies	3,500
4. Criminal Courts.—	
For revision of Ministerial Establishment	4,200
Travelling Allowance to Village Officers	8,000
Contingencies	2,500
Total ..	26,661

The Retrenchment Committee further suggest that wherever Sub-Registrars are not fully occupied and are qualified to exercise magisterial functions, they might be appointed to sit in Bench Courts, and that occasions for engaging the services of Public Prosecutors and appointing Special Public Prosecutors for cases which may well be looked after by Prosecuting Inspectors should be rare. These suggestions will be kept in view and be adopted as far as circumstances permit.

In further consultation with the High Court, Government are pleased to order the following additional economies in the Judicial Department :—

(1) While sanctioning in Government Order No. P. 655-6—Cts. 159-28-6, dated 26th July 1929, the scheme of pronouncing Judgments by dictation to Shorthand Writers, an allowance of Rs. 15 and Rs. 8 per mensem was sanctioned for the Stenographers whose services are utilised for the purpose, according as they have passed the higher or lower grades of Stenography, respectively. The Stenographers in the three Districts and Sessions Courts and the Additional District and Sessions Court, Bangalore, are accordingly being paid an allowance of Rs. 15 each per month. The higher rate of allowance of Rs. 15 each will be reduced to Rs. 10 per mensem.

(2) The High Court consider that a saving of Rs. 5,030 under Contingencies and batta to Prosecutors and to witnesses as detailed in the margin could be effected, and the grants will accordingly be reduced.

	Savings. Rs.
1. High Court Contingencies ...	1,500
2. Law Officers Contingencies ...	300
3. District and Civil Courts—	
Batta to Prosecutors and Witnesses ...	430
Contingencies	1,000
4. Criminal Courts—	
Batta to Prosecutors and Witnesses ...	300
Contingencies ...	1,500
Total ...	5,030

The savings under "18 Courts of Law" will thus be Rs. 7,210 on account of economies effected in addition to Rs. 26,661 already taken credit for the current year's Budget.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.